

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,179	•	07/14/2003	Brent Keeth	3351.8US (96-0223.07/US)	8674	
24247	7590	12/19/2003	EXAMINER		INER	
TRASK			NGUYEN, VIET Q			
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2112	*	2, 22 2.22		2818		
				DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ith				
		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			0/620,179	KEETH, BRENT					
			aminer	Art Unit					
			et Q Nguyen	2818					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <i>Applicatio</i>	on filed on 07/14/2003						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio	on is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to rest	nction and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
, —	The specification is objected to by t								
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
44)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-					

Application/Control Number: 10/620,179

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims **1-2** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-2, respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,392,303 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

Both sets of claims 1-2 are substantially identical in regard to the claimed method of improving noise reduction and electrically balancing the digitlines as presented (see claims).

3. Claims **1-2** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-2, respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,594,173 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

Both sets of claims 1-2 are substantially identical in regard to the claimed method of improving noise reduction and electrically balancing the digitlines as presented (see claims).

Art Unit: 2818

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-6pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Viet Q Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2818

V. Nguyen 12/11/2003